

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

10 REBECCA MARTIN,  
11 Plaintiff(s),

12 v.

13 TARGET CORPORATION,  
14 Defendant(s).  
15

Case No. 2:17-cv-02329-APG-NJK

**ORDER**

(Docket No. 18)

16 Pending before the Court is a renewed stipulation to extend deadlines. Docket No. 18. A  
17 request to modify the scheduling order must be supported by a showing of good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P.  
18 16(b)(4); Local Rule 26-4. The good cause inquiry centers on whether the subject deadline “cannot  
19 reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension.” *Johnson v. Mammoth*  
20 *Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 2000). The Court denied the previous stipulation because  
21 it rested on bare assertions that Defendant is still in the process of obtaining medical records, an  
22 assertion that had been made verbatim in obtaining an extension months earlier. *See* Docket No. 17.  
23 The renewed stipulation purports to identify “Defendant’s efforts to obtain Plaintiff’s medical and  
24 employment records,” Docket No. 18 at 1 n.1., but then merely lists the medical providers from whom  
25 records were sought and the subset of providers who have provided records, *see, e.g., id.* at 2. Even  
26 basic information, such as when the requests were made, is missing. Most significantly, what remains  
27 absent from the renewed stipulation is the required showing of diligence over the last several months  
28

1 in obtaining those missing records (*i.e.*, any action by Defendant to obtain the documents requested  
2 other than merely requesting them and then waiting an extended period to receive a response).

3 Despite the failure show the required diligence, given that the request is presented as a  
4 stipulation and as a one-time courtesy to the parties,<sup>1</sup> the Court will nonetheless **GRANT** the requested  
5 extension and **SET** deadlines as follows:

- 6 • Initial Experts: May 4, 2018;
- 7 • Interim status report: May 4, 2018;
- 8 • Rebuttal experts: June 4, 2018;
- 9 • Discovery cutoff: July 3, 2018;
- 10 • Dispositive motions: August 2, 2018;
- 11 • Joint proposed pretrial order: August 31, 2018, or 30 days after dispositive motions are  
12 resolved.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 DATED: March 5, 2018

15  
16   
NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

---

27  
28 <sup>1</sup> In the event that any additional extension is requested, such request must make the required  
showing of diligence sufficient to establish good cause.